



UCL Academic Manual 2016-17
Chapter 8: Derogations and Variations
UCL Institute of Education (IOE)

UCL IOE Doctor in Education (EdD) Regulations 2016-17 (New Students)

Contact: **Lizzie Vinton**, Assessment Regulations and Governance Manager, Academic Services, Student and Registry Services

These regulations apply to new IOE EdD students enrolling from September 2015 onwards.

IOE EdD students are also subject to the *IOE Code of Practice for Research Degrees* and to the *UCL Research Governance and Ethics Policies and Procedures*.

Regulations for the Degree of Doctor in Education (EdD)

1. Introduction

1.1 These regulations govern the conduct and award of the degree of Doctor in Education (EdD), the Master of Education (MEd) and the Postgraduate Diploma in Practitioner Research.

1.2 The regulations are made subject to the *UCL Academic Manual*, the *IOE General Academic Regulations 2015-16* and the *IOE Assessment Regulations for Students 2015-16* and all other relevant regulations, policies and procedures of UCL:

4.2 A student must register for a course of study in accordance with the procedures issued annually by the Registrar and the *UCL Academic Manual, Chapter 1, Section 5: Research Degree Registration*.

4.3 A student who withdraws before completing the programme of study may be required to re-start the whole programme or to repeat elements of the programme should the student subsequently be permitted by UCL to re-register for it.

5. Attendance and Course of Study

5.1 The curriculum for the EdD shall consist of three elements:

5.1.1 a taught component comprising courses of study of relevance to individuals working in education and related fields: the content of this element of the curriculum will be determined by UCL and will vary from time to time, but shall always include a theoretical and practical training in research strategies and methodologies; a course or courses on the foundations of professionalism in education; and specialist studies in a specific area or areas.

5.1.2 an institution-focused study written up in the form of a report of 20,000 words; the title and method of enquiry of the study are to be approved by the candidate's supervisor and by the appropriate UCL authority.

5.1.3 a thesis of 45,000, words, the subject and method of enquiry of which are to be approved by the supervisor and the appropriate UCL authority.

5.2 Assessment criteria specific to each element of the programme are set out in the examination guidance issued annually.

Faculty Tutor may grant permission for a longer period of study in accordance with agreed procedures as laid down in the *IOE Code of Practice for Research Degrees*

7.6 Students who do not have permission for an extension, deferment or notice of withdrawal and who do not submit to assessment at the proper time will normally be recorded as absent from it and this will count as an attempt.

7.7 A candidate who is prevented by illness or by the serious illness or death of a near relative or by any other cause judged sufficient by the Faculty Tutor from completing an assessment or part of an assessment at the normal time may normally enter for formal assessment in relation to those elements on the next occasion when the assessment is held. At the discretion of the Board of Examiners concerned, such a candidate may instead undertake a special assessment in those elements missed and/or be permitted to submit any prescribed assignment at a date specified by the Board. The special assessment shall be undertaken in the same mode of assessment as the assessment that was missed.

7.8 A candidate who does not at his or her first entry satisfy the examiners in the formal assessment or part of the formal assessment for which he or she has entered may be re-assessed in that element of his or her programme of study on one further occasion only. Deadlines for the resubmission of work for formal assessment or of examination resits shall be specified by the Programme Leader.

7.9 A student who on two occasions fails or is absent from the assessment associated with a course required for the award for which he or she is registered may not continue with the programme of study.

7.10 A student wishing to request a reconsideration of a decision published by the EdD Board of Examiners should do so under the *UCL Student Complaints Procedure available in the UCL Academic Manual, Chapter 1, Section 12.*

8. Award

8.1 In order to qualify for admission to the degree of EdD, a candidate must satisfy the examiners:

8.1.1 that he/she has attained a satisfactory standard in the taught element of the degree;

8.1.2 that the report on the Institution-Focused Study has shown how the EdD studies have supported the candidate's professional development and extended his/her understanding of his/her professional role.

8.1.3 that the thesis forms a distinct contribution to the knowledge of the field of study and affords evidence of originality and a capacity for autonomous research; and

8.1.4 that he/she possesses a conceptual understanding of the integration of all elements of his/her EdD studies.

8.2 Candidates unable to complete the EdD degree programme may apply for the award of either:

8.2.1 the Postgraduate Diploma in Practitioner Research (see 8.5), or

8.2.2 the Master of Education (MEd) in Practitioner Research (see 8.6)

8.3 The award of the Postgraduate Diploma in Practitioner Research shall be made to candidates who have satisfactorily completed the course of study and formal assessments associated with the taught components of the degree programme (i.e. excluding the institution focused study report and the thesis). Candidates awarded the Postgraduate Diploma in Practitioner Research

9.1.8 make clear the sources from which information has been derived, the extent to which the work of others has been used, and the areas which are claimed as original;

9.1.9 be no more than 45,000 words (exclusive of appendices, the list of references and bibliographies but including footnotes, endnotes, glossary, maps, diagrams and tables). Appendices should only include material which examiners are not required to read in order to

11.2. At the time of entry to the examination candidates for the EdD degree will be required to sign a declaration confirming that the work presented is their own as specified in regulation 9.3, is fit for examination and that a copy may be made available for public reference.

11.3 A candidate may apply to the Research Degrees Examination Board for restriction of access to his or her thesis and/or the abstract for a period of up to two years on the grounds, for example, of commercial exploitation or patenting or in very exceptional circumstances. In all other circumstances, a thesis will be placed in the public domain following the award of the degree.

12. Appointment of Examiners and Conduct of Examination

12.1 All matters relating to the examination must be treated as confidential. Examiners are not permitted to divulge the content of previously unpublished material contained in a candidate's thesis until such time as any restrictions on access to the thesis, which have been granted by UCL, are removed.

12.2 The outcome of the examination is determined by two or more examiners acting jointly.

12.3 The Research Degrees Examination Board shall appoint the examiners, having paid particular attention to their independence, expertise and relevant experience. Two, or exceptionally three, examiners will be appointed for each candidate. The examiners are required to be expert in the field of the thesis and to be able to make an independent assessment of the student and the thesis. There shall be an internal examiner appointed from IOE and an external examiner appointed from outside IOE. With the permission of the Research Degrees Examination Board both examiners may be appointed from outside IOE. Only one examiner may be appointed from within IOE.

12.4 If the candidate is a member of staff of IOE, both examiners shall be external to the IOE.

12.5 The candidate's supervisors or those involved in the supervision or development of the student's thesis may not act as examiners.

12.6 The Research Degrees Examination Board shall also appoint, at the request of the examiners, an additional examiner who is external to IOE. The original examiners shall so request before they report formally that they are unable to reach agreement and may do so at any time, if they consider it desirable. Whenever possible the additional examiner shall be of professorial status and shall have considerable experience of examining for a doctoral degree.

12.7 The Research Degrees Examination Board shall appoint an examiner from overseas only in exceptional circumstances when it is considered that such an appointment is necessary. If an examiner from overseas is appointed, the Research Degrees Examination Board must be satisfied that the examiner appointed is familiar with the British higher education system.

12.8 Assessment shall be by submission of a thesis (as defined above) and an oral examination, which will be conducted in English.

12.9 Candidates must attend for examination at a place and time determined by IOE (see also regulation 10.12).

12.10 The oral examination will be held in London. The Faculty Tutor may, however, agree to different arrangements if there are exceptional circumstances which make this expedient.

12.11 Unless the candidate indicates otherwise on the entry form, the supervisor (or where the candidate is jointly supervised, both supervisors) shall be invited to attend the oral examination as an observer. The supervisor does not have the right to take part in the examination but may contribute, if invited to do so by the examiners, with the agreement of the candidate. Otherwise the oral examination will be held in private.

12.12 An independent chair shall be appointed for all oral examinations. The chair shall play no role in the academic content of the examination of the thesis or the candidate. The role of the independent chair is to be present at the oral examination as a neutral observer and a guarantor of fair play, and generally to ensure that the examination is conducted appropriately. Where appropriate, the chair shall offer advice to the examiners on the regulations.

12.13 The chair shall be appointed in accordance with the *IOE Guidelines for the Conduct of Oral Examinations*.

12.14 The examiners shall prepare independent preliminary written reports on the thesis to assist in conducting the oral examination (or the preparation of the joint report in those cases where no oral examination is held). These preliminary reports shall be submitted to IOE before the oral examination so that they may be distributed to the other examiner and to the independent chair before the oral examination.

12.15 The examiners have the right to reject a thesis which does not conform to the requirements for the submission of theses without conducting an examination. This does not preclude the candidate from submitting the thesis for examination again later.

12.16 After any oral examination, the examiners shall prepare a joint final report for submission to IOE. The report shall indicate whether the thesis meets the requirements specified in these regulations and include a statement of the examiners' reasons for their judgment of the candidate's performance against the prescribed criteria.

12.17 The examiners may, at their discretion, consult the independent chair before completing their report.

12.18 Copies of the reports shall be sent to Student and Registry Services who shall forward a copy of the final report to the candidate, together with the preliminary reports. All reports will be available to the members of an appeals committee in the case of an appeal against the result of the examination (see also 13.4).

13. Outcome of Examination

13.1. The award of the degree shall be dependent on a satisfactory defense of the thesis in the oral examination as well as successful completion of all the other elements of the course as detailed in paragraph 8.1 above. The oral examination shall be designed to test the thesis against the criteria stated in paragraph 9 above.

13.2 The options open to examiners in determining the result of the examination are set out below.

Pass

13.2.1 If the thesis fulfils the criteria set out in these regulations and the candidate satisfies the examiners in the oral examination, the examiners shall report to the Research Degrees Examination Board that the candidate has satisfied them in the examination for the EdD degree.

Pass subject to corrections within one month

3. Notification of result

3.1 After the EdD Board of Examiners have reached a decision, every candidate will be notified by the Student and Registry Services of the result of his/her examination.

3.2 A diploma under the seal of UCL shall be subsequently delivered to each candidate who has been awarded the degree. The date of the award of the diploma will be no longer than one month after the Board of Examiners has recommended that a student be passed.

3.3 The diploma for the degree will bear the names of the candidate in the form in which they appear in the records of UCL at the date of issue.

Postgraduate Diploma in Practitioner Research

REGULATIONS FOR POSTGRADUATE DIPLOMA IN PRACTITIONER RESEARCH

Students who register initially for the degree of EdD shall be awarded the Postgraduate Diploma in Practitioner Research in accordance with the provisions below.

1. Conditions of Award

1.1 Students who successfully complete the taught element of the EdD degree by achieving a pass grade in each of the required courses through studies at UCL are eligible to be considered for the award of the Postgraduate Diploma in Practitioner Research, provided they have not applied for the award on the basis of results in the taught courses. Tm [(a)-42.6 665.f0(h)-2

1.2 Pass grades on the basis of which the Postgraduate Diploma in Practitioner Research is awarded ea